

₹ 200

ISSN - 2249-555X

Volume : 1

Issue : 7

April 2012



Journal for All Subjects

[www.ijar.in](http://www.ijar.in)

Listed in International ISSN Directory, Paris.



ISSN - 2249-555X

# Indian Journal of Applied Research

## Journal for All Subjects

### Editor-In-Chief

**Dr A Kumar**

Director, College Development Council (CDC)  
Director, Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)  
Professor in Management,  
Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Management,  
Bhavnagar University,

### Editorial Advisory Board

**Dr. S. N. Pathan**  
Maharashtra

**Dr. SM. Ramasamy**  
Gandhigram

**Dr. M. M. Goel**  
Kurukshetra

**Dr. S. Ramesh**  
Tamil Nadu

**Dr Ramesh Kumar Miryala**  
Nalgonda.

**Dr. B. Rajasekaran**  
Tirunelveli

**Dr. A. R. Saravankumar**  
Tamilnadu

**Dr. Roy M. Thomas**  
Cochin

**Dr. G. Selvakumar**  
Salem

**Dr. Apurba Ratan Ghosh**  
Burdwan

**Dr. Shrawan K Sharma**  
Uttarakhand

**Dr. Sudhanshu Joshi**  
Uttarakhand

**Prof. (Dr.) B Anandampilai**  
Pudhukottai

#### Advertisement Details

Position	B/W (Single Color)	Fore Color
Full Inside Cover	₹ 6000	₹ 12500
Full Page (Inside)	₹ 5000	-

#### Subscription Details

Period	Rate	Discount	Amount Payable
One Year (12 Issues)	₹ 2400	Nil	₹ 2400
Two Year (24 issues)	₹ 4800	₹ 200	₹ 4600
Three Year (36 issues)	₹ 7200	₹ 300	₹ 6900
Five Year (60 issues)	₹ 12000	₹ 600	₹ 11400

You can download the Advertisement / Subscription Form from website [www.ijar.in](http://www.ijar.in). You will require to print the form. Please fill the form completely and send it to the **Editor, INDIAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED RESEARCH** along with the payment in the form of Demand Draft/Cheque at Par drawn in favour of **INDIAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED RESEARCH** payable at Ahmedabad.

1. Thoughts, language vision and example in published research paper are entirely of author of research paper. It is not necessary that both editor and editorial board are satisfied by the research paper. The responsibility of the matter of research paper/article is entirely of author.
2. Editing of the Indian Journal of Applied Research is processed without any remittance. The selection and publication is done after recommendations of at least two subject expert referees.
3. In any condition if any National/International University denies accepting the research paper published in IJAR, then it is not the responsibility of Editor, Publisher and Management.
4. Only the first author is entitled to receive the copies of all co-authors.
5. Before re-use of published research paper in any manner, it is compulsory to take written permission from the Editor-IJAR, unless it will be assumed as disobedience of copyright rules.
5. All the legal undertaking related to Indian Journal of Applied Research is subject to Ahmedabad Jurisdiction.
7. The research journal will be sent by normal post. If the journal is not received by the author of research papers then it will not be the responsibility of the Editor and publisher. The amount for registered post should be borne by author of the research paper in case of second copy of the journal.

**Editor,**

**Indian Journal Of Applied Research**

8-A, Banans, Opp. SLU Girls College, New Congress Bhavan, Paldi,  
Ahmedabad-380006, Gujarat, INDIA

Contact.: +91-9824097643 E-mail : [editor@ijar.in](mailto:editor@ijar.in)

## INDEX

Sr. No.	Title	Author	Subject	Page No.
1	Current Issues In Indian Capital Market	Bhavin S. Shah	Accountancy	1-3
2	Accounting Standard (AS) 30 Accounting for Financial Instruments	Kalola Rimaben A, Chauhan Lalit R.	Accountancy	4-6
3	A Study on Lithology and Petrography of the Tipam Sandstones Exposed along the Tipong Pani River Section of Upper Assam Basin	Dr. Pradip Borgohain	Applied Geology	7-11
4	Study of Fluvial Geomorphic Features of the Lower Subansiri Basin, North-East India using Remote Sensing and GIS.	Dr. Uttam Goswami	Applied Geology	12-14
5	Sheared volcanics in the north of Pugging, East Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh	T. K. Goswami, P. Bhattacharyya, D. Bezbaruah	Applied Geology	15-18
6	Heavy Metal Biosorption Using A Biopolymer Chitin	D. Saravanan, P. N. Sudha	Chemistry	19-23
7	Impact of peripheral cues on rural consumer buying decision for FMCG products with special reference to Palitana (Gujarat)	Dr K.S. Vataliya, Bhavik .P. Parmar	Commerce	24-26
8	A Growth of Rural Postal Life Insurance in India [ A Study with special Reference to Dharmapuri District]	Dr. A. Vinayagamoorthy K. Senthilkumar	Commerce	27-28
9	Promotional Strategies for International Markets with respect to Agricultural Products	Dr. B. B. Bhosale	Commerce	29-30
29	Business Risk And Financial Risk - Indian Corporate Sector	Dr. M. Dhanabhakyam, P. Balasubramanian	Commerce	31-33
10	"Customer Relationship Management"- In Banking Industry	G.V. Kori, Sri. Basavaraj Huggi	Commerce	34-36
11	Role of Investment Banks and Institutions in Economic Development	Jitendra Dhirajlal Karia, Dr. (Prof.) Vijay Kumar Soni	Commerce	37-38
12	Nature Of Information Shared And Communication Methods Used In Small Manufacturing Firms	Vipul Chalotra	Commerce	39-41
13	China's WTO Accession: An Empirical Assessment of Merchandise Trade with India	Anjali Tandon	Economics	42-45
14	Regional Disparities - Social Sector Expenditure in Rural-Urban India	Dr. Shankar B. Ambhore, Dr. Ashok S. Pawar	Economics	46-47
15	(Presenting Thought About Industry, Trade And Co-operation Of Rajarshri Shahu Maharaj)	Dr. Ashok Shankarrao Pawar, Dr.Sunita J. Rathod	Economics	48-49
16	An Assessment On Poverty Alliviation Programmes In Rural India-A Case Study	Dr. Parvathamma G. L.	Economics	50-55
17	Liveability in Guwahati: A Factor Analytic Approach	Dr. Daisy Das, Dr. Ratul Mahanta	Economics	56-58
18	Backward Class Disparities in higher Education in India	Dr. Shankar B. Ambhore, Dr. Pawar Ashok S.	Economics	59-60
19	Revenue and Expenditure Pattern of Municipal Corporations of Punjab	Naresh Kumar	Economics	61-66

20	Livelihood Security of Traditional Fishermen of Kerala: Analysing and Identifying the Roles of Self Help Groups	(Dr.) D. Rajasenan, Rajeev B.	Economics	67-70
21	Levels and Types of Questions Raised by EFL Teachers In Southern Al-Mazar Directorate of Education	Dr. Jihad Al-Turki	Education	71-74
22	Issues And Recommendations Of National Knowledge Commission In Higher Education System	Vidhi Bhalla	Education	75-77
23	Multiple Sequence Alignment of Different Species	Perna, Pankaj Bhambri, Dr. O.P. Gupta	Engineering	78-82
24	Analyzing the Phylogenetic Trees with Tree- building Methods	Jasmine, Pankaj Bhambri, Dr. O.P. Gupta	Engineering	83-85
25	Low Power High Speed with Improved Noise Margin for Domino CMOS Inverter.	Pushpa Raikwal, Dr.Vaibhav Neema, Dr.Sumant Katiyal	Engineering	86-88
26	Analysis of Drag for an Aircraft Wing Model with and without Winglet	Mitul Patel, Sharvil Shah, Dharmendra Dubey	Engineering	89-91
27	Cognitive Radio	Chauhan Jayesh R.	Engineering	92-95
28	Problems In Teaching English As A Compulsory Subject	Prof. Madhvi R. Acharya	English	96-97
30	Financial Banking Is The Science Of Managing Money: Indian Financial System	Dr. Shailesh N. Ransariya, Dr. Shailesh N. Ransariya	Finance	98-100
31	Carbon Trading a Step towards Green Environment	Ashok R. Bantwa	Finance	101-102
32	Effect of Supplementation of A Multinutrient Chocolate Bar on Nutritional Status and Athletic Performance	P. Muhtulakshmi, Dr. M. Sylvia Subapriya	Home Science	103-104
33	Imperatives of Inclusive Growth for Sustainable Development of Indian Economy Post Globalization	Dr Mahalaxmi Krishnan	Indian Economy	105-107
34	RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT AND THE ROLE OF PRESS, MEDIA & NGO'S	Dr. Krushna Chandra Dalai	Law	108-109
35	``Thesis: A Powerful Source Of Information``	Arvind M Bhadrashetty	Library Science	110-111
36	Present Day English and Inflections	Dr Syed Mohammed Haseebuddin Quadri	Literature	112-113
37	Jigsaw II: An Effective Strategy To Develop Reading Comprehension Of High School Students	Dr. P. Nagaraj, Sindhu Thamba	Literature	114-115
38	CAPITAL STRUCTURE ANALYSIS (An Empirical Study of Paper Mills in India)	Ashok Mundhra	Management	116-118
39	Emerging Trends In Indian Rural Market	Dr. N. Ramanjaneyalu	Management	119-121
40	Credit Card Usage in Coimbatore	G. Murali Manokari, Dr. R. Ganapathi	Management	122-126
41	Micro Credit – Two Sides of the Same Coin	R. Durga Rani, J. Gnanadevan, Dr. R. Ganapathi	Management	127-130
42	Work Place Stress and Yoga Therapy	K. Revathi, Dr. R. Ganapathi	Management	131-132
43	Customer's Satisfaction Towards Modernized Petrol Stations With Reference to Coimbatore City	Dr. R. Ganapathi	Management	133-137

44	Evaluation Tactics: A tool to evaluate success of corporate training programme	Dr. Shobha Dedhia	Management	138-140
45	A Preliminary Study On Issues And Challenges Faced In Measurement Of Social Media Return On Investment	Khushbu Pandya	Management	141-142
46	Profitability Analysis (A Case Study of Selected Public and Private Sector Companies)	Manish Manglik	Management	143-144
47	Performance Management System	S.Jayakrishna, N.Sainath, M.V.Subbareddy, N.Raji Reddy	Management	145-147
48	A Study On Organizational Culture In Bharath Heavy Eletrical Limited, Ranipet	S.Sridhar, D.Yuvaraj, V. Kandasamy	Management	148-150
49	Cost Effective Transportation	Sarada Prasanna Patra Dr. Manjusmita Dash	Management	151-154
50	A Study On Efficiency Of Outbound Training With Reference to Titan Industries, Hosur	V. Kandasamy, D. Yuvaraj, S. Ragothaman	Management	155-157
51	Performance Improvement Enhance The Efficiency	Vidya L. Hulkund	Management	158-159
52	Packaging- The Salient Seller	Vidya L. Hulkund	Management	160-161
53	An Empirical Study Of Student Satisfaction With Reference To Gujarat Technological University (Gtu)	Dr. Vijay K. Patel	Management	162-163
54	Maximizing Customer Profitability in Retailing Industry (Durable Goods) - Role of Analytical CRM -A Case Analysis	Dr.A.R.Krishnan, R.Selvamani	Management	164-165
55	Financial Inclusion - Role Of Banking Industry	Dr. K. Marutha Muthu, Ms.T. A.Tamilselvi	Management	166-167
56	The Growth of Self Help Groups in India: A Study	S.Ravi, Dr. P. Vikkraman	Management	168-170
57	Role of E-Banking	K. K. Devi	Marketing	171-172
58	Reasons after the war of going Green –Green Marketing	Kavita A. Trivedi	Marketing	173-175
59	Strongly Minimal Generalized Boundary	K. Chandrasekhara Rao, P . Padma	Mathematics	176-177
60	ACCESSORY RENAL ARTERY: A CASE REPORT	Archana U Shekokar, Vandana A Tendolkardolkar	Medical Science	178-179
61	Fibrinous Pericarditis: A Case Report	Vandana A Tendolkar, Archana U Shekokar	Medical Science	180-181
62	Social life, Addictions and Subjective Wellbeing of the Transsexuals	Seemanthini.T.S, Manjula. M. Y	Psychology	182-184
63	Using E-Content In Science Class: The Effect Of Treatment, Gender, And Their Interaction On Science Achievement	Suman Rani	Psychology	185-188
64	Bullying - Societal Curse- A Serious Issue	Latha Janaki. R, Dr.Kalyani Kenneth	Social Science	189-191
65	Factor Influencing Foetal Wastage	Dr. Dipti Bhavsar, Dr. C. D. Bhavsar	Environment	192-195
66	Approach Of Universilization Educational And Women Empowerment Of Rajarshri Shahu Maharaj	Dr. Ashok Shankarrao Pawar, Dr. Sunita J. Rathod	Economics	196-199



## CAPITAL STRUCTURE ANALYSIS (An Empirical Study of Paper Mills in India)

\* Ashok Mundhra

\* Research Scholar Department of Management, NIMS University, Jaipur

### ABSTRACT

The term capital structure means the financial planning according to which the assets of an industry are furnished. The word 'structure' is used in science of engineering where it means different parts of a building. Companies, raise their capital through various sources viz. shares, debentures, retained earnings etc. In the present study null hypotheses has been used to analyze difference between the capital structures. Results show that there was a significant difference in the companies from the view point of debt-equity ratio and capital gearing ratio.

### Keywords :

The term capital structure means the financial planning according to which the assets of an industry are furnished. According to Lawrence and Haley (1999), "The term capital structure means the proportion of different types of securities issued by a firm. The optimal capital structure is the set of proportion that maximizes the total value of the firm.

Thus capital structure refers to the combination or mix of debt and equity which a company uses to finance its long-term operations.

#### Hypothesis of the Study: -

In the present study null hypotheses has been used-

- There is no significant difference in the capital structure of the companies under study
- The year-wise difference in the capital structure position of the companies under study is not significant.

#### Methodology of Study:

For the purpose of present study four paper companies viz., Andhra Pradesh Paper Mills Ltd. (APPML), Ellora Paper Mills Ltd. (EPML), Shree Krishna Paper Mills Limited (SKPML) and South India Paper Mills Ltd (SIPML) have been selected. A five year period commencing from 2005-06 to 2009-10 has been covered.

#### 1. Debt-Equity Ratio

This ratio is an indicator of the soundness of the configuration of the debt-equity mix. A proper mix of debt and equity helps in improving the rate of capital formation in the long run.

Debt- Equity Ratio has been calculated by using the following formula

$$\text{Debt- Equity Ratio} = \frac{\text{Total Debts}}{\text{Equity}}$$

Table 1

Debt-Equity Ratio of Paper Mills under Study

(For the Period from 2005-06 to 2009-10)

( Ratio in Times)

Year	APPML	EPML	SKPML	SIPML
2005-06	1.37	1.79	2.57	1.53
2006-07	1.72	1.57	4.47	1.11

2007-08	1.66	1.97	9.66	0.91
2008-09	1.78	2.28	8.92	0.91
2009-10	1.32	3.11	17.42	0.66
Average	1.57	2.14	8.61	1.02
Standard Deviation	0.19	0.54	5.15	0.29
Coefficient of Variation (%)	11.87	25.12	59.79	28.35

Source: Computed from Annual Reports and Accounts of the Selected Paper Mills for the period from 2005-06 to 2009-10

The above table 1 shows the debt equity ratio of the paper mills under study. It is clear from the above table that the debt equity ratio in APPML registered a fluctuating trend throughout the whole period under study. It varied within the range of 1.78 times in 2008-09 to 1.32 times in 2009-10.

It is clear from the above table that the debt equity ratio in EPML showed an increasing trend during the period of study except in the year 2006-07. Initially, the debt equity ratio was 1.79 times in 2005-06 decreased to 1.57 times in 2006-07, but this ratio increased to 1.97 times in 2007-08, to 2.28 time in 2008-09 and further to 3.11 times in 2009-10. It is suggested that the management of the company should be watchful because this policy may be harmful in long run. The company should try to reduce the burden the long term borrowings.

In SKPML, the debt equity ratio registered an increasing trend except in the year 2008-09. During 2005-06, this ratio was 2.57 times, which increased to 4.47 times in 2006-07 and further to 9.66 times in 2007-08. But the debt equity ratio decreased to 8.92 times in 2008-09 but further increased to 17.42 times in 2009-10. The company had adopted an aggressive debt financing policy which should be avoided by the management of the company.

Table 1 shows that the debt equity ratio in SIMPL showed a decreasing trend during the whole period of under study and varied within the rang of 1.53 times in 2005-06 to 0.66 times in 2009-10. The average of debt equity ratio was 1.02 times which is in favour of the company.

**F Test for Debt- Equity Ratio:-**

i) F Test Between the Companies  
 $F = \text{Higher Variance} / \text{Smaller Variance}$   
 $= 62.79 / 8.24 = 7.62$   
 $V1=3; V2=12$  Table value of F at 5% level of significance = 3.49  
 The null hypothesis is rejected because the calculated value of F (7.59) is more than the table value (3.49). Thus, it can be concluded that the difference in the Debt-Equity Ratio of the companies under study is significant.

ii) F Test Within the Years  
 $F = \text{Higher Variance} / \text{Smaller Variance}$   
 $= 8.89 / 8.24 = 1.08$   
 $V1=4; V2 = 12$  Table value of F at 5% level of significance = 3.26  
 The calculated value of F is less than the table value, hence, the null hypothesis is accepted and it is concluded that the year-wise difference in the Debt- Equity Ratio of the companies under study is not significant.

**2. Capital Gearing Ratio**

The Capital gearing ratio measures the relationship between fixed cost bearing capital and variable cost bearing capital.

Capital Gearing Ratio = Fixed Cost Bearing Capital / Variable Cost Bearing Capital

**Table 2**

**Capital Gearing Ratio of Paper Mills Under Study**

(For the Period of 2005-06 to 2009-10)

( Ratio in Times)

Year	APPML	EPML	SKPML	SIPML
2005-06	0.92	1.03	1.92	0.74
2006-07	1.33	0.87	3.65	0.49
2007-08	1.28	1.11	7.55	0.39
2008-09	1.34	1.54	6.49	0.46
2009-10	1.01	2.18	14.06	0.27
<b>Average</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>6.73</b>	<b>0.47</b>
<b>Standard Deviation</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>0.47</b>	<b>4.17</b>	<b>0.15</b>
<b>Coefficient of Variation (%)</b>	<b>15.02</b>	<b>35.25</b>	<b>61.96</b>	<b>32.98</b>

**Source: Computed from Annual Reports and Accounts of the Selected Paper Mills for the period from 2005-06 to 2009-10**

It can be seen from the table 2 that the capital gearing ratio in APPML registered a fluctuating trend during the period of study. The average of capital gearing ratio was 1.17 times which indicates that the company has adopted conservative financing policy. It is suggested that the management of the company should properly use external sources of finance.

The capital gearing ratio of EPML showed a decreasing cum increasing trend during the period of study. The average of this ratio was 1.35 times which can be regarded satisfactory.

In SKPML, this ratio showed an increasing trend except in the year 2008-09. The ratio was high because of the fact that the fixed cost bearing capital was more than the variable cost bearing capital. It shows that the capital of the company was high geared which is not favourable for the company and denotes that the company is incurring a heavy amount in the form of interest.

The capital gearing ratio in SIPML showed a fluctuating trend during the period of study. The average of capital gearing ratio was 0.47 times which shows that the company followed a conservative financing policy and the burden of interest for the company was not high.

The coefficient of variation was highest in SKPML at 61.96 percent denoting high fluctuations followed by EPML at 35.25 percent and at 32.98 percent in SIPML. The management of these companies should try to control the fluctuations. The fluctuation in capital gearing ratio of APPML can be regarded satisfactory because the coefficient of variation was 15.02 percent and the management of the company should try to maintain it in future also.

**F Test for Capital Gearing Ratio**

i) F Test Between the Companies  
 $F = \text{Higher Variance} / \text{Smaller Variance}$   
 $= 41.86 / 5.32 = 7.87$   
 $V1=3; V2 = 12$  Table value of F at 5% level of significance = 3.49  
 The null hypothesis is rejected because the calculated value of F (7.87) is more than the table value (3.49). Thus, it can be concluded that the difference in the Capital Gearing Ratio of the companies under study is significant.

ii) F Test Within the Years  
 $F = \text{Higher Variance} / \text{Smaller Variance}$   
 $= 6.17 / 5.32 = 1.16$   
 $V1=4; V2 = 12$  and table value of F at 5% level of significance = 3.26  
 The calculated value of F is less than the table value, hence, the null hypothesis is accepted and it is concluded that the year-wise difference in the Capital Gearing Ratio of the paper mills under study is not significant.

**3. Ratio of Fixed Assets to Long Term Funds**

This ratio is also known as Fixed Assets Ratio or 'Fixed Assets to Capital Employed Ratio. The fixed assets ratio reveals the long term solvency of the business. The fixed assets ratio can be calculated by using the following formula

= Fixed Assets / Long Term Funds or Capital Employed

**Table 3**

**Fixed Assets to Long Term Funds Ratio of Paper Mills under Study**

(For the Period of 2005-06 to 2009-10)

( Ratio in Times)

Year	APPML	EPML	SKPML	SIPML
2005-06	0.62	0.69	0.87	0.82
2006-07	0.82	0.66	0.74	0.74
2007-08	0.79	0.81	0.79	0.64
2008-09	0.79	0.73	0.71	0.76
2009-10	0.69	0.57	0.69	0.72
<b>Average</b>	<b>0.74</b>	<b>0.69</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>0.74</b>
<b>Standard Deviation</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>0.06</b>
<b>Coefficient of Variance (%)</b>	<b>10.29</b>	<b>11.39</b>	<b>8.57</b>	<b>7.73</b>

**Source: Computed from Annual Reports and Accounts of the Selected Paper Mills for the period from 2005-06 to 2009-10**

Table 3 shows the fixed assets to long term funds ratio of the paper mills under study. . It can be noted from the above table that the fixed assets to long term funds in APPML showed an increasing cum decreasing trend. The average of this ratio was 0.74 times which is not poor but it is suggested that the management should decrease.

The fixed assets to long term funds of EPML registered a fluctuating trend during the period of study. The average of this

ratio was 0.69 times with the coefficient of variation as 11.39 percent which can be regarded satisfactory. It is suggested that the management should maintain same position in future also.

In SKPML, the fixed assets to long term funds ratio showed a decreasing trend except in the year 2007-08. The average of fixed assets to long term funds ratio was 0.76 times which should be control by the management of the company.

The fixed assets to long term funds of SIPML showed a fluctuating trend during the whole period of under study. The average of this ratio was 0.74 times with the coefficient of variation as 7.73 percent which should be control by the management.

#### F Test for Fixed Assets to long term funds Ratio

##### i) F Test Between the Companies

$$F = \text{Higher Variance} / \text{Smaller Variance}$$

$$= 0.0075 / 0.0033 = 2.27$$

$$V_1=12: V_2 = 3 \text{ Table value of F at 5\% level of significance} = 8.74$$

The null hypothesis is accepted because the calculated value of F (2.27) is less than the table value (8.74). Thus, it can be concluded that the difference in the Fixed

Assets to long funds Ratio of the companies under study is not significant.

##### ii) F Test Within the Years

$$F = \text{Higher Variance} / \text{Smaller Variance}$$

$$= 0.0075 / 0.0050 = 1.50$$

$$V_1=12: V_2 = 4 \text{ Table value of F at 5\% level of significance} = 5.91$$

The calculated value of F is less than the table value, hence, the null hypothesis is accepted and it is concluded that the year-wise difference in the Fixed Assets to Net Worth Ratio of the Paper Mills under study is not significant.

#### Conclusion:

The above study reveals that some of the companies followed debt financing policy while some followed conservative financing policy. There was a significant difference in the companies from the view point of debt-equity ratio and capital gearing ratio. The year-wise position of all the companies under study was same and the difference was not significant. It is suggested that to increase the profitability and wealth of stockholders the company should adopt a debt-financing policy

#### REFERENCES

1. Lawrence, D, S and Charles, W.H. (1999). Introduction to Financial Management. TMH, New Delhi. | 2. Sinha, P (2009). Financial Management: Tools and Techniques. Excel Books, New Delhi. | 3. Pandey I.M. (2010). Financial Management. Vikas Publishing House, New Delhi | 4. Reddy, G. S. (2009). Financial Management. Himalaya Publishing House, Mumbai. | 5. Gupta S.P (2006). Statistical Methods, S.Chand & Co. New Delhi.



**Sara Publishing Academy**  
Indian Journal Of Applied Research  
Journal for All Subjects



**Editor,**  
**Indian Journal Of Applied Research**  
8-A, Banans, Opp. SLU Girls College,  
New Congres Bhavan, Paldi, Ahmedabad-380006.  
Contact.: +91-9824097643 E-mail : [editor@ijar.in](mailto:editor@ijar.in)